

Bank Robbery Scenario

Student's Name

Institutional Affiliation

Bank Robbery Scenario

Whenever a delay in litigation is experienced, it is often implied that the conclusion of an administrative adjudication has not taken the right course of justice. In this case, it is concluded that justice has been denied to the defendant. There are a lot of things that are required in order to manage a docket. One of the ways via which the courts manage this is by analyzing the management of caseload. Besides this, a lot of commitment and leadership abilities coupled with hard work happen to be some of the aspects that the courts focus on.

Judicial Administration

While docketing cases, the first step involves assigning a docket number to each new case. Details put in such a file include: the year, reference number, numbers indicating the location of the file. These details can also include the name of the judge assigned to deal with the case. Federal courts have an established pacer system where the public can access docket sheets though not all pre-existing cases are covered. However, the user is expected to possess a pacer log in. Availability of docket sheets regarding cases in state courts varies depending on the nature of a particular court. A blue indicator on a certain sheet indicates that the file is ready for viewing, whereas a green pointer shows that the file is not ready to be viewed. These indicators apply for all courts' documents.

Regarding calendaring, dates are set with regards to the nature of the case. The impact of calendaring is such that the least popular calendar is the trial calendar. Change of plea and arraignment appears to be popular, though the therapeutic court calendar happens to be the most widespread. Generally, the pretrial calendar is least favored owing to its complex nature. This is due to the fact that it is often implied that a lot of time is wasted in the process. There is, however, a lot of efficiency that emerges owing to docketing and calendaring. For instance, people are able

to view various pertinent documents related to cases in court. In addition to this, calendaring ensures that every case is offered the required duration and that all the people involved are in a position to plan their actions in advance.

Court Scenario

The current case involving Jed, Herman and Jane is likely to be handled in a state court owing to a number of issues. First of all, the federal court majorly deals with cases involving the violation of the law and secondly, with cases that pertain to an amount exceeding \$75,000. Besides this, the federal courts use an individual calendar. This is quite favorable, since specific dates will be set for the case to be heard without any speculations for other hearings. Due to the organized calendar of the federal courts, continuance of cases takes a median time of just 7 months from the time the case is filed till its disposition.

Federal judges tend to be very strict with continuance of cases such as the robbery case under study. This case can be granted continuance owing to the fact that it is an extraordinary case that needs thorough evaluation.

As mentioned earlier, the current case will be heard in a state court. One of the reasons why this is likely to occur is due to the fact that the case relates to amended law. On basis of the constitution, the robbery appears a criminal case. The defendants will also be charged depending on the court's jurisdiction. Like the federal court, continuance is only granted under unusual circumstances. Calendaring also ensures that the courts are able to work within a specified budget. This idea is fundamental owing to the fact that it manages to save the court time and money. It is also evident that the federal court handles some state court cases which are of great significance.

For instance, in this scenario, Jed was already a convict at the time the robbery occurred. In this case the federal court has a mandate to intervene.

Key Role of Judicial Administration

The main role of the administration within the federal court which bears the greatest impact is maintenance of records and dockets calendaring of which is also a key role. If the two components failed to operate in tandem, the judicial system would not be effective. This is important, since it ensures that everything required for a particular case can be retrieved with ease whenever it is required. Calendaring ensures that justice is administered in all fairness. Continuance is disregarded, since it is waste of time. It always ends up devastating the system of justice.

Judicial Administration: Impact of Venue

The court is in a position to exercise the judicial power owing to its judicial presence within a certain locality. It essentially implies that venue is a very important factor. In relation to this crime having occurred in Columbia and also the fact that the bank robbery happens to be a federal offense, the federal court is offered a mandate over the case. Owing to the litigation process, venue appears as a critical factor. Shifting the venue to another locality is likely to delay the case. Alternatively, in case the venue adopted is not appropriate, it offers an opportunity for an appeal to be launched in the event that it is established in such a way that the case can be listened to in a different locality.

Judicial Administration: Continuance

A continuance basically entails the derailment of judicial proceedings. It occurs mostly when the case is postponed continually to a different date. Continuance is granted under rare circumstances. For instance, a witness in the case is not available available. The judge can grant continuance in order to allow more time until this witness manages to appear in court. Besides time being wasted, continuances lead to the exhaustion of court resources. Owing to the four months continuance requested by the defendant, it is illogical for the prosecution to indicate that this is likely to add on to the workload at the court.

Within the ten months indicated that the judge has some trials scheduled, it is unlikely that there can be a lack of a specific date when the case can be heard. Nonetheless, it is true that in case the prosecution decides to delay bringing their witness, the defense will have little time to prepare. The judge also should not be in a rush to try the case. This is due to the fact that if the judge does not offer sufficient time to the defendant to come up with his defense, a future appeal becomes inevitable. This will lead to the case being around for a longer duration, yet it could have been easily determined by allowing the due process to take effect.